

4. Course Coordinator and Instructor

4.1 Course Coordinator: Asst. Prof. York Gunther -- HLD -- phone: 082-220-1072 -- email: yorkgun@mahidol.ac.th

4.2 Instructor: Aj. Barbara Ekamp



Bachelor's Degree Program: Humanities

General Education Course Course Title: Logic, Analysis and Critical Thinking Mahidol University International College Course Code: ICGH 103 Division: HLD

5. Trimester/Class Level

- 5.1 Trimester: 1-3/ Class Level: undergraduate GE
- 5.2 Number of Students Allowed: approximately 40 Students

6. Pre-requisite

- none

7. Co-requisites

- none
- 8. Study Site Location
 - MUIC

Section 2 Aims and Objectives

1. Course Goals

Making students intellectually aware and capable of recognizing and using arguments in theory and practice. Both qualitative and quantitative techniques will be utilized from formal predicate logic and a strong emphasis will be placed on communication: both generating arguments and recognizing them in natural language settings.

Course Goals: From the overview perspective of the course instructor, based on the principles, knowledge and skills related to the Program, describe the learning skill the students can develop and apply for further study or work in the future according to the goals set by the instructor in-charge. This has to correspond to MU-GE Module LOs to equip the students with MU-Graduate Attributes.

Objectives of Course Development/Revision 2.1 Course Objectives

Students will become intellectually aware and capable of recognizing and using arguments in theory and practice. With qualitative and quantitative techniques, they will be able to utilize formal predicate logic in communication settings, being able to both generate arguments and recognize them in natural language settings.

Course Objectives: Describe in detail the knowledge, understanding, skills and abilities of students after the course learning achievement, from the perspective of the course instructor in-charge. The objectives can be written based on the domains of learning (cognitive, affective, psychomotor, etc.)

2.2 Course-level Learning Outcomes: CLOs

By the end of the course, students will be able to (CLOs)

CLO1 To fine-tune deductive and inductive skills. CLO2 To efficiently identify obvious errors in reasoning. CLO3 To achieve an in-depth understanding of theoretical logical frameworks to apply it effectively in the evaluation of natural language arguments. CLO4 To achieve rigor in the critical analysis of English arguments under multiple contexts and subject-matters.

CLO5 To successfully translate arguments from English to symbolic logic and vice versa.

Remarks:

- A. "The course-level expected learning outcomes (CLOs)": Based on the course objectives, explain the knowledge, abilities and skills of students that can be measured and evaluated to make sure that the students get the learning experience, pass the course evaluation based on criteria defined, and achieve the objectives in section 2.1 and the performance based on the standards defined.
- B. A good CLO should consist of 3 structural components:
 - 1. AN ACTION VERB: Identify the ability or skill that the students must perform to be observed or measured.
 - 2. LEARNING CONTENT: Identify the knowledge that the students will gain and apply for other courses in the program or for future work.
 - 3. CRITERIA OR STANDARD: Identify the criteria or standards of competency defined in the course to judge the students' achievement.
- C. In a CLO, more than one learning domain can be included.
- D. Each course should have about 4 8 CLOs.

Section 3 Course Description and Implementation

1. Course Description

Basic formal tools from sentential and predicate logic. Logical structures of arguments used in the everyday contexts of life and analysis of their strengths and weaknesses. Common fallacies in reasoning, including reasoning involving determining probabilities. Construction of good arguments using principles of informal reasoning.

เครื่องมือพื้นฐานอย่างเป็นทางการตั้งแต่ตรรกะที่ว่าด้วยประพจน์จนถึงตรรกะที่ว่าด้วยภาคขยาย โครงสร้างตรรกะของการอ้างเหตุผลที่ใช้ในบริบทของชีวิตประจำวัน การวิเราะห์จุดเด่นและจุดด้อย ตรรกะวิบติที่พบบ่อยในการให้เหตุผล รวมถึงการให้เหตุผลเกี่ยวกับการกำหนดความเป็นไปได้ การคิดค้นการอ้างเหตุผลที่ดีโดยใช้หลักการของการให้เหตุผลอย่างไม่เป็นทางการ

2. Number of Hours Per Trimester

Theory	Practice	Self-study
(hours)	(hours)	(hours)
48	0	96

3. Number of Hours per Week for Individual Advice

- 4 hours/week

- Students can requests for appointments anytime in the term

Identify the following information: The process or method that the person in-charge uses and time allocated for individual students.

Section 4: Development of the expected learning outcomes

- Short summary on the knowledge or skills that the course intends to develop in students (CLOs) By the end of the course, students will be able to
 - 2.2 Course-level Learning Outcomes: CLOs
 - By the end of the course, students will be able to (CLOs):
 - CLO1 To fine-tune deductive and inductive skills.
 - CLO2 To efficiently identify obvious errors in reasoning.
 - CLO3 To achieve an in-depth understanding of theoretical logical frameworks to apply it effec-
 - tively in the evaluation of natural language arguments.
 - CLO4 To achieve rigor in the critical analysis of English arguments under multiple contexts and subject-matters.
 - CLO5 To successfully translate arguments from English to symbolic logic and vice versa.

2. Teaching methods for developing the knowledge or skills specified in item 1 and evaluation methods of the course learning outcomes

	Teaching methods	Evaluation Methods
CLO1	Lecture, Class Discussion, Text Analysis	Quizzes, Individual Assignment, Final Examination
CLO2	Lecture, , Class Discussion, Text Analysis	Quizzes, Individual Assignment, Final Examination
CLO3	Lecture, Class Discussion, Text Analysis	Quizzes, Individual Assignment, Final Examination
CLO4	Lecture, Class Discussion, Text Analysis	Quizzes, Individual Assignment, Final Examination
CLO5	Lecture, Class Discussion, Text Analysis	Quizzes, Individual Assignment, Final Examination

Section 5 Lesson Plan and Evaluation

1. Teaching plan

Week	Topic/Activity	Numb	er of Hours	Teaching Activi- ties/Media	Lecturer
		Lec- ture Hours	Lab/Field Trip/Intern- ship Hours		
1	Introduction: Status and Scope of Inductive and Deductive Logic Part 1. Truth- Functional Logic Concepts & Transfor- mation: Truth Values and Connectives	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	Barbara Ekamp
2	Part 1. Truth- Functional Logic Concepts & Transfor- mation: Truth Values and Connectives (cont.)	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	
3	Part 1. Truth- Functional Logic Concepts & Transfor- mation: Schemata, In- stances, Equivalence	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	

Week	Topic/Activity	Numk	per of Hours	Teaching Activi- ties/Media	Lecturer
4	Part 1. Truth- Functional Logic Concepts, Transformation & Proof: Validity, Truth- Functional Truth, Incon-	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	
	sistency and Implication Part 1. Truth- Functional Logic Concepts, Transformation & Proof: Summary				
5	Part 1. Truth- Functional Logic Natural Deduction: Deri- vation rules; Examples in Symbolic Form	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	
6	Part 1. Truth- Functional Logic Natural Deduction: Eng- lish Arguments ******MIDTERM EXAM*****	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	

Week	Topic/Activity	Numb	per of Hours	Teaching Activi- ties/Media	Lecturer
7	Part 2. Syllogistic Logic Categorical Statements, Venn Diagrams, Basic In- ferences	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	
8	Part 2. Syllogistic Logic Syllogisms and Enthy- memes; Limits of Meth- ods	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	
9	Part 3. Critical Thinking Editorial and Argument Analysis Fallacies Good and Bad Arguments	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	
10	Part 3. Critical Thinking Editorial and Argument Analysis Fallacies Good and Bad Arguments	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	

Week	Topic/Activity	Numb	per of Hours	Teaching Activi- ties/Media	Lecturer
11	Part 3. Critical Thinking Quantifica- tion and Translation Exer- cises; Tying Everything Together	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	
12	Part 3. Final Re- view	4		Lecture, Class Discus- sion, Analysis, Vid- eos, PowerPoint	
13	Final Examination				
	Total	48			

2. Plan for Assessing Course Learning Outcomes

- 2.1 Assessing and Evaluating Learning Achievement
 - a. Formative Assessment

Problem analysis with concepts maps

Construction of both informal and formal arguments

- b. Summative Assessment
 - (1) Tools and Percentage Weight in Assessment and Evaluation

Learning Out- comes	Assessment Methods	Assessme (Percer	nt Ratio Itage)	
	Assignment	20		
CLO3	Midterm Exam	5	40	
	Final Exam	15		
(101, 2, 4) and 5	Final Exam	30	60	
	Midterm Exam	30	00	
Total			100	

(2) Grading System

Grade	Achievement	Final Score (% Range)	GPA
А	Excellent	90-100	4.0
B+	Very good	85-89	3.5
В	Good	80-84	3.0
C+	Fairly good	75-79	2.5
С	Fair	70-74	2.0
D+	Poor	65 - 69	1.5
D	Very Poor	60-64	1.0
F	Fail	Less than 60	0.0

(3) Re-examination (If course lecturer allows to have re-examination)

- students with legitimate medical or personal emergencies may re-examine with the lecturer's and PD's permission

3. Student Appeals

- students may appeal to the lecturer, PD, chair or OAA.

Section 6 Teaching Resources

1. Texts and main documents

- 1) Textbook: W.V.Q. Quine, Elementary Logic, Harvard UP: 1980 (revised Edition).
- Workbook containing definitions of relevant terminology, supporting exercises, and articles for Weeks
 1-12 compiled by the instructors.
- 3) Online and multimedia material provided by instructor (Edmodo).

2. Documents and important information

- In-class handouts.
- Reliable internet sources.
- PowerPoint presentations.
- Alternate textbooks available at the MUIC library.

3. Documents and recommended information

(1)(same as above)

Section 7 Evaluation and Improvement of Course Implementation

1. Strategies for evaluating course effectiveness by students Student evaluation

2. Strategies for evaluating teaching methods

Student evaluation, Peer observation

3. Improvement of teaching methods

Student evaluation, Peer observation, HR development

4. Verification process for evaluating students' standard achievement

outcomes in the course

Academic testing and assignments

5. Review and plan for improving the effectiveness of the course The aforementioned plus alumni feedback

Appendix

Relations between the course and the General Education

(Course Code)	Learning Outcomes in General Education (MU-GE LOs)								
(Course Coue)	MLO1	MLO2	MLO3	MLO4	MLO5	MLO6	MLO7	MLO8	MLO9
CLO1		2.2	3.1/2						
CLO2		2.2	3.1/2						
CLO3	1.4	2.2	3.1/2	4.1			7.1	8.1	
CLO4		2.2	3.1/2				7.1		
CLO5		2.2	3.1/2				7.1		

Table 1: The relationship between CLOs and MU-GE Module LOs (Number in table = Sub LOs)

 $\underline{\mbox{Table 2}}$ The description of MU-GE LOs and Sub LOs of the course

MU-GE LOs	Sub LOs
MLO1 Select & use techniques and methods to solve open-ended, ill-de- fined and multistep problems	1.4 Synthesize information to arrive at logical reasoning

MLO2 Select & use techniques and methods to solve open-ended, ill-de- fined and multistep problems	2.2 Integrate alternative, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas in the solution of a problem or question
ML03 Acquire specific strategies & skills within a particular discipline and adapt them to a new problem or situation	3.1 Connect, synthesize and/or transform ideas or solutions within a particular framework
	3.2 Integrate alternative, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas in the solution of a problem or question

 $MU\text{-}GE\ Module\ LOs:$ At the end of studying MU-GE Module, successful students will be able to

Competences	LOs:	Sub LOs:
1. Critical thinking & Analysis : Use various sources and methods to collect and manage data & information and make a logical judgement and decision to arrive at a so- lution or problem solving	1.Create & construct an argument effectively as well as identify, cri- tique and evaluate the logic & validity of argu- ments	 Identify concepts related to the context of learned is- sues/topics Demonstrate ICT literacy: use appropriate technology to find, evaluate, and ethically used information Collect, analyze, synthesize data, & evaluate informatior and ideas from multiple sources relevant to issues/prob lems Synthesize information to arrive at logical reasoning

Competences	LOs:	Sub LOs:
relevant to real-world is- sues/ problems	2. Select & use tech- niques and methods to solve open-ended, ill-defined and multi- step problems	 Apply simple mathematical methods to the solution of 'real-world' problems Make judgement & decision through correct analysis, in- ferences, and evaluations on quantitative basis and mul- tiple perspectives Apply concept of process management to solve prob- lems
2. Creativity & Innovation: Show capability to initiate al- ternative/ new ways of thinking, doing things or solving problems to im- prove his/her or team solutions/ results by ap- plying the evidence- based process manage- ment concepts	3. Acquire specific strategies & skills within a particular discipline and adapt them to a new prob- lem or situation	 Connect, synthesize and/or transform ideas or solutions within a particular framework Integrate alternative, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas in the solution of a problem or question
	 Create a novel or unique ideas, ques- tion, format, or prod- uct within a particular framework 	 Create an original explanation or solution to the issues/problems Articulate the rationale for & consequences of his/her solution- identify opportunities & risk Implement innovation through process management approach
	5. Explore and situate oneself in a new physical environment and intellectual per- spectives	 Demonstrate cultural competencies and adaptabilities in different working environments Resort to multi-dimensional settings and tools to acquire knowledge and skills relevant to the problems or situa- tion at hand

Competences	LOs:	Sub LOs:
3. Global per- spectives & Eth- iCS: Express one's own ideas, interact with oth- ers, guide or lead team, as proper, as an ethi- cally- engaged and re- sponsible member of the society	6. act autonomously within context of re- lationships to others, law, rules, codes, and values	 Demonstrate an understanding of the principles upon which sustainable ecosystems and societies are built Identify the national & global challenges associated with current economic, political, and social systems Exhibit characteristics of responsible citizenship Work effectively in diverse team (and multi-cultural settings)
	7. Apply ethical frame- works or principles and consider their implications in his/her decision-mak- ing and interacting with others	 Identify ethical issues and recognize different viewpoint and ideologies Guide & lead others Apply principles of ethical leadership, collaborative engagement, and respect diversity

Competences	LOs:	Sub LOs:
4. Communica- tion: Communicate effectively and confi- dently using oral, visual, and written language	8. Use a variety of means/ technologies to communicate ef- fectively and pur- posefully; e.g., share information/ knowledge, express ideas, demonstrate or create individual & group product, etc.	 Communicate/present ideas effectively both oral & written forms to appropriate audience, such as verbal discussion with peers, and written project report Prepare a purposeful oral presentation designed to increase knowledge, to foster understanding, or to promote change in the listeners' attitudes, values, beliefs, or behaviors. Prepare written documents to express ideas/solutions using different writing technologies, and mixing texts, data, and images. Demonstrate competence in a second or additional language
5. Collaboration and Working with team: Collab- orate and work effec- tively with team to ar- rive at team goals	9. Collaborate and work effectively as part of a student group/team member to arrive at the team shared-goals in time	 Collaborate effectively with others as a responsible team member to achieve team goals in time Interact with others respectfully, either as a team member or leader, to create a productive teamwork