



Program: General Education

Program Level ☒

Bachelor



Graduate Diploma



Master



Higher Graduate Diploma



Doctor

Course Title: Searching for Meaning

Faculty/College/Institute MUIC

Course Code ICGH 129

Department: Humanities and Lan-

guages

---

## Course Specification

### Section 1 General Information

#### 1. Course Code and Title

In Thai

ICGH 129 การค้นหาความหมาย

In English

ICGH 129 Searching for Meaning

#### 2. Number of Credits

2

(Theory 2 hrs. Practice 0 hrs. Self-Study 4 hrs./week)

#### 3. Curriculum and Course Type

3.1 Program

bachelor's degree program



Thai Program



International Program

3.2 Course Type

General Education Course

3.3 Specify Course's Literacy



MU Literacy



Health Literacy



Science and Environmental Literacy



Intercultural and Global Awareness Literacy



Civic Literacy



Finance and Management Literacy

#### 4. Course Coordinator and Instructor

4.1 Course Responsible Instructor

Daniel Pellerin

4.2 Instructors

Daniel Pellerin

#### 5. Trimester/Class Level

5.1 Trimester 1/Class Level 1

5.2 Number of Students Allowed

Approximately 40 Students

#### 6. Prerequisites

#### 7. Co-requisites



Program.....

Program Level

☐ Bachelor

☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma

☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

## Section 2 Course Goals and Course Description

### 1. Course Goals

The goal of this course is to introduce students to the search for human meaning as it has been conceptualized and developed in the Western philosophical tradition from the ancient Greeks to the twentieth and twenty-first centuries. Students should come out of the class familiar with what Socrates presents as the well-examined and life in his Apology, with the Allegory of the Cave and the basics of Platonic Dialectics, with the Stoic tradition, and with the modern echoes and continuations.

### 2. Course Description

(In Thai) การเริ่มต้นจากการแสวงหาปัญญาของโสกราตีสตามที่อธิบายไว้ในคำแถลงต่อศาล (Apology) และความยากลำบากที่เขาเผชิญอันเป็นผลมาจากการแสวงหาอันนั้น จนนำไปสู่การพิจารณาคดีและการถูกตัดสินประหารชีวิต การเชื่อมโยงคำแถลงต่อศาล (Apology) กับอุปมาแห่งถ้ำของเพลโต และวิธีการวิเคราะห์เชิงวิพากษ์ วิธีในการก้าวไปสู่ความจริง การพิจารณาว่า มาร์คุส ออเรลิอุส อาจถือว่าเป็นกษัตริย์นักปรัชญาหรือไม่ และการสำรวจความเชื่อมโยงกับลัทธิสโตอิกตามที่สอนโดยเอพิคเตตัส สะท้อนการพิจารณาความตายของมองเตญู เชื่อมโยงแบบจำลองโบราณเหล่านี้กับแนวความคิดของธอโรและอิมเมอร์สัน, โซเฟนเฮาเออร์และนิชเซ่, ปรอยด์และวิลเลียม เจมส์, อัลแบร์ กามูส์และวิกเตอร์ ฟรังเคิล

(In English) Starting from Socrates' quest for wisdom as described in the Apology, and the difficulties he encountered as a consequence, leading up to his trial and death sentence; connecting the Apology to Plato's Allegory of the Cave, and the dialectical method of ascent towards the truth; wondering whether Marcus Aurelius may qualify as a philosopher-king, and exploring the connections with Stoicism as taught by Epictetus; reflecting on Montaigne's contemplations of death; connecting such ancient models to strains of thought in Thoreau and Emerson, Schopenhauer and Nietzsche, Freud and William James, Albert Camus and Viktor Frankl

## Section 3 Course Objectives, Course-level Learning Outcomes and Course Implementation

### 1. Course Objectives

The objective of this course is to introduce students to the search for human meaning as it has been conceptualized and developed in the Western philosophical tradition from the ancient Greeks to the twentieth and twenty-first centuries. Students should come out of the class familiar with what Socrates presents as the well-examined and life in his Apology, with the Allegory of the Cave and the basics of Platonic Dialectics, with the Stoic tradition, and with the modern echoes and continuations.



Program..... Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma  
☐ Master ☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor  
 Course Title..... Faculty/College/  
 Institute .....  
 Course Code.....  
 Department .....

---



---

## 2. Course-level Learning Outcomes:

On completion of the course, the students will be able to:

- 1) CLO1 Identify and explain core philosophical concepts
- 2) CLO2 Analyze these competing views within their context and compare them to rivals
- 3) CLO3 Evaluate the relevance and applicability of these ideas to modern existential questions and dilemmas
- 4) CLO4 Construct and defend well-reasoned positions about the nature of meaning in human life, integrating ancient and modern perspectives

## 3. How to organize learning experiences to develop the knowledge or skills stated in number 2 and how to measure the learning outcomes

CLOs	Teaching and learning experience Management			Learning outcomes measurements		
	Lecture	Class Discussion	Text Analysis	Participation	Reading	Exam
CLO1	X	X	X	X	X	X
CLO2	X	X	X	X	X	X
CLO3	X	X	X	X	X	X
CLO4		X	X	X		X

## Section 4 Lesson Plan and Evaluation

### 1. Lesson Plan



Program.....

Program Level

☐ Bachelor

☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma

☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

---

## 1. Lesson Plan



Program.....

Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

Teaching Period (weeks)	Topics/Details	Number of hours		Methods: Teaching Media	Lecturer
		Theory*	Practice**		
1	General introduction and course logistics	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Text</u> "On Reading"	Daniel Pellerin
2	Socrates: the gadfly of Athens	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading</u> : Plato, <i>Apology</i> to 31e	Daniel Pellerin
3	Plato's Allegory of the Cave	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading</u> : Plato, <i>Republic</i> , beginning of bk. 7	Daniel Pellerin
4	The Platonic Dialectic	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading</u> : Plato, <i>Republic</i> , end of book 7	Daniel Pellerin
5	Epictetus's Handbook for wise living	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading</u> : Epictetus, <i>Enchiridion</i>	Daniel Pellerin
6	James Stockdale on putting Epictetus to the test (as a prisoner of war)	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading</u> : Stockdale, <i>Courage under Fire: Testing Epictetus's Doctrines in a Laboratory of Human Behavior</i>	Daniel Pellerin



Program.....

Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

Teaching Period (weeks)	Topics/Details	Number of hours		Methods: Teaching Media	Lecturer
		Theory*	Practice**		
7	Montaigne's reflections on dying	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading:</u> <i>Essays</i> I.20 (To Philosophize Is To Learn To Die)	Daniel Pellerin
8	Henry David Thoreau on the philosophical life	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading:</u> <i>Walden</i> , chapter on "Economy"	Daniel Pellerin
9	Arthur Schopenhauer on the inescapable nullity and misery of life	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading:</u> <i>Die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung</i> , vol. II, ch. 46, trans. Daniel Pellerin	Daniel Pellerin
10	Friedrich Nietzsche's "God Is Dead"	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading:</u> <i>Die fröhliche Wissenschaft</i> , III.125 and V.343, trans. Daniel Pellerin	Daniel Pellerin
11	Victor Frankl on the human search for meaning	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion <u>Reading:</u> excerpts from <i>Man's Search for Meaning</i> (1946), translated by Ilse Lasch, with revisions by Daniel Pellerin	Daniel Pellerin
12	Concluding discussion and review	4:00		Combined lecture and group discussion Review of readings over the term	Daniel Pellerin



Program.....  
 Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma  
☐ Master ☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor  
 Course Title..... Faculty/College/  
 Institute .....  
 Course Code.....  
 Department .....

Teaching Period (weeks)	Topics/Details	Number of hours		Methods: Teaching Media	Lecturer
		Theory*	Practice**		
	Total hours of the entire semester	48			

## 2. Plan for Assessment of Expected Course-Level Learning Outcomes (CLOs)

### 2.1 Measurement and Evaluation of learning achievement

#### A. Formative Assessment

The interactive lecture-discussion format will allow the instructor to track student engagement and support understanding in the course of the term.

#### B. Summative Assessment

(1) Tool and weight for measurement and evaluation

Learning Outcomes	Evaluation Method*			Weight (Percentage)
	Participation	Readings	Exam	
CLO1	5	5	15	25
CLO2	5	5	15	25
CLO3	5	5	15	25
CLO4	5	5	15	25
รวม	20	20	60	100

(2) Grading Rules

Grade	Achievement	Final Score (% range)
-------	-------------	-----------------------



Program..... Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma  
☐ Master ☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor  
 Course Title..... Faculty/College/  
 Institute .....  
 Course Code.....  
 Department .....

---

O	Outstanding	90-100
S	Satisfactory	60-89
U	Unsatisfactory	Less than 60

(3) Measurement and Evaluation

The passing grade for this class is “S”.

**2.2 Re-examination (if the course allows any.)**

As per MUIC policies—see Student Handbook 2024/2025 pp. 56-57

**3. Students’ Appeal**

Students can submit appeals to the program director/course lecturer via email or in writing through the division secretary. The program director will consider the appeal and inform or discuss the decision with the student directly, via writing or verbal communication.

In the case that an issue cannot be resolved at the division-level, the division defers to the official policy outlined in the MUIC Student Handbook 2024-25:

*APPEAL AND CONSIDERATION OF APPEAL 1. An appeal shall be made for oneself only and must be made within 30 days from the date of being acknowledged or regarded to be aware of the order. The appeal must be in writing showing the facts and reasons for the appeal 67 and explain how the order is invalid, inappropriate or unfair. In addition, the appellant is required to put his/her signature, affiliated working unit and address. 2. The appellant has the right to request examining and making a copy of personal statement records, other evidence, or relevant documents. To allow this request, however, is under the discretion of the person who orders the punishment, or it can be allowed under any condition. 3. For the purpose of deterring the appeal period, the date on which the student signs the penalty order shall be regarded as the date of acknowledgement of the order. 4. With regard to an appeal, the appellant shall write a letter to Chairperson of the Appeal Committee by submitting or sending it directly to the Chairperson or through the Head of the Working Unit that the appellant belongs to. 5. The appeal to be accepted for consideration must be valid in the essence under Clause 1 The Appeal Committee shall consider promptly, not more than 60 days from the date the appeal letter is received. In case that whether or not any appeal is accepted for consideration, the Appeal Committee shall consider and promptly notify the appellant of the resolution. 6. The student appellant may withdraw the appeal before the Appeal Committee completes a decision. With regard to this, the appellant shall write a letter and submit to Chairperson of the Appeal Committee. When the case has been withdrawn, the consideration of appeal shall be terminated. 7. When the Appeal Committee has considered an appeal and passed a resolution, the Chairperson shall notify the University Council, the appellant for acknowledgement and notify the person who issues the penalty order to promptly proceed with the decision of the*





Program.....

Program Level

☐ Bachelor

☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma

☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

---

*Appeal committee. In case the student is not of the legal age, the parents or the guardian of the student shall also be notified.*



Program..... Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma  
☐ Master ☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor  
Course Title..... Faculty/College/  
Institute .....  
Course Code.....  
Department .....

---

## Section 5 Teaching Resources

### Required Readings:

Epictetus. *Handbook/Enchiridion*. Translated by Nicholas White. Hackett, 1983.  
Frankl, Viktor E. *Man's Search for Meaning*. Translated by Ilse Lasch. Beacon Press, 2006.  
Montaigne, Michel de. *Complete Essays*. Translated by M. A. Screech. Penguin, 1993.  
Nietzsche, Friedrich. *Die fröhliche Wissenschaft, sections III.125 and V.343*. Translated by Daniel Pellerin.  
Plato. *Complete Works*. Edited by John M. Cooper. Hackett Classics, 1997.  
Schopenhauer, Arthur. Excerpt from Ch. 46, *The World as Will and Representation*. Translated by Daniel Pellerin.  
Stockdale, James B. *Courage under Fire: Testing Epictetus's Doctrines in a Laboratory of Human Behavior*. Hoover Institution (Stanford University), 1993.  
Thoreau, Henry David. "Economy." In *Walden*. 1854.

## Section 6 Evaluation and Improvement of Course Implementation

### 1. Analysis and Evaluation of Course Implementation

#### A. Data for Analysis

Data for Analysis will focus on collecting data for KPI analysis, including

- students' course evaluation scores
- student teacher evaluation scores
- Student grade distribution
- pass/fail rates
- student satisfaction rates and feedback
- CLO achievement

#### B. Course Effectiveness Evaluation

Course Effectiveness Evaluation will present the KPI analysis results to demonstrate course management effectiveness.

### 2. Revision Process and Improvement Plan for Course Effectiveness

The Revision Process and Implementation Plan for Course Effectiveness will integrate KPI analysis results, stakeholder feedback, and external knowledge/changes into an Improvement Plan, which may require: participation in teacher-development seminars, trainings, workshops or other intra-departmental/OAA activities; use of precisely designed rubrics for all tests and assignments; regular internal review of curriculum structure and aligned course content through SWOT analysis as part of the ICIC Curriculum Committee and the College's Curriculum Review Committee revision process.



Program..... Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma  
☐ Master ☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor  
Course Title..... Faculty/College/  
Institute .....  
Course Code.....  
Department .....

---

---

### 3. The self-assessment report of the course

The Self-Assessment Report of the Course will consolidate information from No. 1 and 2 into the MU5 report, to be completed within 30 days.



Program.....

Program Level

☐ Bachelor☐ Graduate Diploma☐ Master☐ Higher Graduate Diploma☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

## Appendix

### 1. Relations between the course and the General Education

**Table 1** Relations between CLOs and MU-GE Module LOs (numbers in the table = Sub LOs)

Course Code: ICGH 129	MU-GE LOs								
	MLO 1	MLO 2	MLO 3	MLO 4	MLO 5	MLO 6	MLO 7	MLO 8	MLO 9
CLO1 Identify and explain core philosophical concepts					5.1		7.1	8.1 8.2	
CLO2 Analyze these competing views within their context and compare them to rivals	1.1 1.4								
CLO3 Evaluate the relevance and applicability of these ideas to modern existential questions and dilemmas	1.1 1.3 1.4			4.1 4.2	5.1		6.3 6.4		9.1 9.2
CLO4 Construct and defend well-reasoned positions about the nature of meaning in human life, integrating ancient and modern perspectives			3.1 3.2	4.1 4.2	5.1		6.3 6.4	8.1 8.2	9.1 9.2

ระบุว่าแต่ละ CLO สอดคล้องกับ MLO ในระดับ SubMLO ไตบ้าง โดยใส่หมายเลขของ SubMLO ใน column MLO ที่ตรงกัน เพื่อแสดงความเชื่อมโยงให้ชัดเจน

**Table 2** LOs that the course is responsible for

MLOs	SubMLOs
------	---------



Program.....

Program Level

☐ Bachelor

☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma

☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

1. Create & construct an argument effectively as well as identify, critique and evaluate the logic & validity of arguments	1.1 identify concepts related to the context of learned issues/topics 1.3 collect, analyze, synthesize data, & evaluate information and ideas from multiple sources relevant to issues/problems 1.4 synthesize information to arrive at logical reasoning
3. Acquire specific strategies & skills within a particular discipline and adapt them to a new problem or situation	3.1 connect, synthesize and/or transform ideas or solutions within a particular framework 3.2 integrate alternative, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas in the solution of a problem or question
4. Create a novel or unique idea, question, format, or product within a particular framework	4.1 create an original explanation or solution to the issues/problems 4.2 articulate the rationale for & consequences of her/his solution- identify opportunities & risk
5. Explore and situate oneself in a new physical environment and intellectual perspectives	5.1 Demonstrate cultural competencies and adaptabilities in different working environments
6. Act autonomously within context of relationships to others, law, rules, codes, and values	6.3 Exhibit characteristics of responsible citizenship 6.4 Work effectively in diverse team (and multi-cultural settings)
7. Apply ethical frameworks or principles and consider their implications in his/her decision-making and interacting with others	7.1 Identify ethical issues and recognize different viewpoint and ideologies



Program..... Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma  
☐ Master ☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor  
 Course Title..... Faculty/College/  
 Institute .....  
 Course Code.....  
 Department .....

8. Use a variety of means/ technologies to communicate effectively and purposefully—e.g., share information/ knowledge, express ideas, demonstrate or create individual & group product, etc.	8.1 <b>C</b> ommunicate/present ideas effectively both oral & written forms, proper to a range of audience groups, such as verbal discussion with peers, project report 8.2 <b>P</b> repare a purposeful oral presentation designed to increase knowledge, to foster understanding, or to promote change in the listeners' attitudes, values, beliefs, or behaviors.
9. Collaborate and work effectively as part of a student group/team member to arrive at the team shared-goals in time	9.1 Collaborate effectively with others as a responsible team member to achieve team goals in time 9.2 Interact with others respectfully, whether as a team member or leader, to create a productive teamwork

แสดงข้อมูลของ MLOs-SubMLOs เฉพาะที่รายวิชาอ้างอิงถึง เพื่อแสดงการมีส่วนร่วมพัฒนานักศึกษาใน MLOs ที่รายวิชารับผิดชอบ

## 2. Rubric scoring\*

\*หมายเหตุ ถ้ามีการใช้ Rubric scoring เป็นเครื่องมือในการวัดผล ให้แสดงเพิ่มเติมในภาคผนวกข้อที่ ๒.

This rubric will be used to assess students' critical engagement with the readings.

### Categories

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improve- ment
----------	-----------	------	--------------	------------------------



Program.....

Program Level

☐ Bachelor

☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma

☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

### Engagement with Reading

Deep and insightful engagement with the text, showing a thorough understanding of key ideas, historical developments, and theoretical frameworks. The response is well-organized, focused, and demonstrates a comprehensive grasp of the content.

Good engagement with the text, demonstrating clear understanding of key ideas and frameworks. Some aspects of the text may be more superficial, but overall comprehension is strong.

The response shows basic engagement with the reading but lacks depth or misses some key concepts. The understanding is somewhat superficial or imprecise.

Minimal engagement with the text; may misunderstand or omit significant ideas. Response is vague or lacks clarity in identifying key concepts.

### Critical Analysis & Argumentation

The post demonstrates a nuanced, original interpretation of the reading. Strong, well-supported arguments, with clear, relevant examples from the text. The post addresses complexities and engages with multiple perspectives in a thoughtful manner.

The post presents a coherent and logical interpretation with some original insight. Arguments are supported by examples from the text, but may lack complexity or depth.

Interpretation is clear but lacks nuance or originality. Arguments are somewhat underdeveloped or may be based on generalizations. Support from the text is weak.

The interpretation is unclear, underdeveloped, or overly simplistic. Arguments are weak or unsupported, and there is little critical analysis of the reading.

### Clarity and Organization

Exceptionally well-organized, with clear and logical progression of ideas. The response is concise but thorough, and free of grammatical or syntactical errors.

Well-organized, but may have minor issues with clarity or flow. Writing is clear, with few grammatical errors.

Organization is somewhat unclear or repetitive. Some ideas are underdeveloped or hard to follow. Writing may contain noticeable grammatical or syntactical issues.

Disorganized or unclear response. Frequent grammatical errors and poor structure detract from the readability and coherence of the post.



Program..... Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma  
☐ Master ☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor  
 Course Title..... Faculty/College/  
 Institute .....  
 Course Code.....  
 Department .....

### Depth of Reflection and Insight

The response demonstrates deep, critical reflection and original thinking. It explores both the strengths and weaknesses of the theories, showing a balanced perspective.

Reflects on the reading with some level of insight, though may not address complexities or contradictions within the text.

Limited reflection, primarily summarizing the reading rather than engaging with it critically. The post lacks a thorough analysis of the text.

Minimal reflection or analysis. The post largely summarizes the reading without providing critical engagement or insight.

### 3. Relations between the course and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG1 No poverty
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG2 Zero Hunger
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG3 Good Health and Well – being
✓	SDG4 Quality Education
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG5 Gender Equality
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG6 Clean Water and Sanitation
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG7 Affordable and Clean Energy
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG8 Decent Work and Economic Growth
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG9 Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG10 Reduced Inequalities
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG11 Sustainable Cities and Communities
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG12 Responsible Consumption and Production
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG13 Climate Action
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG14 Life Below Water
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG15 Life on Land
✓	SDG16 Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions
<input type="checkbox"/>	SDG17 Partnerships for the goals





Program..... Program Level ☐ Bachelor ☐ Graduate Diploma  
☐ Master ☐ Higher Graduate Diploma ☐ Doctor  
 Course Title..... Faculty/College/  
 Institute .....  
 Course Code.....  
 Department .....

MU-GE Module LOs: At the end of studying MU-GE Module, successful students will be able to

Competences	LOs:	Sub LOs:
<b>1. Critical Thinking &amp; Analysis:</b> Use various sources and methods to collect and manage data & information and make a logical judgement and decision to arrive at solution or problem solving relevant to real-world issues/ problems	1. create & construct an argument effectively as well as identify, critique and evaluate the logic & validity of arguments	1.1 identify concepts related to the context of learned issues/topics 1.2 demonstrate ICT literacy: use appropriate technology to find, evaluate, and ethically use information 1.3 collect, analyze, synthesize data, & evaluate information and ideas from multiple sources relevant to issues/ problems 1.4 synthesize information to arrive at logical reasoning
	2. select & use techniques and methods to solve open-ended, ill-defined and multistep problems	2.1 apply simple mathematical methods to the solution of 'real-world' problems 2.2 make judgement & decision through correct analysis, inferences, and evaluations on quantitative basis and multiple perspectives 2.3 apply concept of process management to solve problems
<b>2. Creativity &amp; Innovation:</b> shows capability to initiate alternative/ new ways of thinking, doing things or solving problems to improve his/her or team solutions/ results by applying the evidence-based process management concepts	3. acquire specific strategies & skills within a particular discipline and adapt them to a new problem or situation	3.1 connect, synthesize and/or transform ideas or solutions within a particular framework 3.2 integrate alternative, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas in the solution of a problem or question
	4. create a novel or unique ideas, question, format, or product within a particular framework	4.1 create an original explanation or solution to the issues/problems 4.2 articulate the rationale for & consequences of her/his solution- identify opportunities & risk 4.3 implement innovation through process management approach



Program.....

Program Level

☐ Bachelor

☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma

☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

Competences	LOs:	Sub LOs:
	5. explore and situate oneself in a new physical environment and intellectual perspectives	5.1 demonstrate cultural competencies and adaptabilities in different working environments 5.2 resort to multi-dimensional settings & tools to acquire knowledge and skills relevant to the problems or situation at hand
<b>3. Global perspectives &amp; Ethics:</b> Express one's own ideas, interact with others, guide or lead team, as proper, as an ethically- engaged and responsible member of the society	6. act autonomously within context of relationships to others, law, rules, codes, and values	6.1 demonstrate an understanding of the principles upon which sustainable ecosystems and societies are built 6.2 identify the national & global challenges associated with current economic, political, and social systems 6.3 exhibit characteristics of responsible citizenship 6.4 work effectively in diverse team (and multi-cultural settings)
	7. apply ethical frameworks or principles and consider their implications in his/her decision-making and interacting with others	7.1 identify ethical issues and recognize different viewpoint and ideologies 7.2 guide & lead others 7.3 apply principle of ethical leadership, collaborative engagement, and respect diversity



Program.....

Program Level

☐ Bachelor

☐ Graduate Diploma

☐ Master

☐ Higher Graduate Diploma

☐ Doctor

Course Title.....

Faculty/College/

Institute .....

Course Code.....

Department .....

Competences	LOs:	Sub LOs:
<b>4. Communication:</b> communicate effectively and confidently using oral, visual, and written language	8. use a variety of means/ technologies to communicate effectively and purposefully—e.g., share information/ knowledge, express ideas, demonstrate or create individual & group product, etc.	8.1 communicate/present ideas effectively both oral & written forms, proper to a range of audience groups, such as verbal discussion with peers, project report. 8.2 prepare a purposeful oral presentation designed to increase knowledge, to foster understanding, or to promote change in the listeners' attitudes, values, beliefs, or behaviors. 8.3 prepare written documents to express ideas/solutions using different writing technologies, and mixing texts, data, and images.
<b>5. Collaboration and Working with Team:</b> collaborate and work effectively with team to arrive at team goals	9. collaborate and work effectively as part of a student group/team member to arrive at the team shared-goals in time	9.1 collaborate effectively with others as a responsible team member to achieve team goals in time 9.2 interact with others respectfully, whether as a team member or leader, to create a productive teamwork